

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

my

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO		
09/701,504	11/29/2000	Kazuo Ohba	KAM1-BN12	5063		
21611 7	590 01/28/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER		
SNELL & WILMER LLP			ABDELWAHED, ALI F			
1920 MAIN ST SUITE 1200	IKEEI		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
IRVINE, CA 92614-7230			3712	18		

DATE MAILED: 01/28/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				\mathcal{Q}				
•	Application	n No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Commons	09/701,50	4	OHBA, KAZUO					
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit					
	Ali Abdelv		3712					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailir earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	136(a). In no eve oly within the statu will apply and will se, cause the appl	int, however, may a reply be time story minimum of thirty (30) days I expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ication to become ABANDONEI	tiely filed s will be considered timel the mailing date of this or O (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 L	December 20	<u>003</u> .						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	action is no	n-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims								
4) Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-4,11-18 and 21-23 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 5-10,19 and 20 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	3 is/are witho		on.					
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin								
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	ın nriarity un	dor 25 11 S.C. S. 110/o) (d) or (f)					
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest since a specific reference was included in the first 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language processing the priority document is made of a claim for domest preference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domest preference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domest preference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domest preference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domest preference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domest preference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domest preference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domest preference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for document is m	nts have been the have been the have been the certific priority under the certific priority under the certific priority under the certific priority under the priorit	n received. In received in Applications have been received in 17.2(a)). The copies not received a 17.2 (a) 1.5 (b) 1.5 (c) 1.5	on No ed in this National d. e) (to a provisional in an Application eived. and/or 121 since	l application) Data Sheet. a specific				
Attachment(s)								
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 	<u>14</u> .	4) Interview Summary 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other: .						

Application/Control Number: 09/701,504

Art Unit: 3712

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

Claim Objections

Claim 5 is objected to because of the following informalities:

It is suggested that in:

Claim 5, line 8, delete "the".

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 5-10, 19, and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP 61169217 A to Sato et al. in view of U.S. Patent No. 4,470,784 to Piotrovsky and JP 62071616 A to Saburo.

Sato et al. discloses the claimed invention except for the spacers being of a synthetic resin material having a melting point equal to or below the molding temperature of the molding material, and the concept of molding a doll's arm. However, Piotrovsky teaches the method of molding a doll's limb comprising spacers made of a synthetic resin material (see column 2, lines 30-48), and Saburo teaches the concept of molding an arm for a doll. Furthermore, Sato et al. discloses the molding material having a molding temperature of 170-180°C, which is known to be the melting point temperature of the material of the spacers disclosed by Piotrovsky, which are made of acetal resin [see ACETAL (Delrin®) TECHNICAL DATA SHEET]. Thus, the spacers are compatible with the molding material and have a melting point equal to or below the molding temperature of the molding material, thereby melting and becoming integral with the molding material during the injection of the molding material. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device of Sato et al., in view of Piotrovsky and Saburo, such that it would provide the device of Sato et al. with the aforementioned limitations for the purpose of providing an armature for a doll's arm, instead of a doll's leg as disclosed by Sato et al. for molding a doll's arm.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 5-10, 19, and 20 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 09/701,504

Art Unit: 3712

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ali Abdelwahed whose telephone number is (703) 305-3311. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday from 9:00 A.M. to 5:00 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Derris Banks can be reached on (703) 308-1745.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1148.

AA 01/23/2004

> DERRIS H. BANKS SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700